

James E Rausch
905 Hillsdale Dr.
Kokomo, IN 46901
(765-457-4807)

Retired Salaried Employee of Delphi Corporation
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
-----x	:	

LETTER TO CONTEST DELPHI'S MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363(b)(1), AND 1108 CONFIRMING DEBTORS' AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE EMPLOYER-PAID POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS AND EMPLOYER-PAID POST-RETIREMENT LIFE INSURANCE BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN (A) SALARIED EMPLOYEES AND (B) RETIREES AND THEIR SURVIVING SPOUSES ("SALARIED OPEB TERMINATION MOTION")

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

I have been retired from Delphi since August 1, 2007, at the age of 59. I have been a loyal employee of Delphi for 37 years. As the court knows, Delphi Corp. filed a motion with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court to discontinue healthcare and life insurance coverage for retirees and eliminate the Retiree Health Reimbursement Account (RHRA). This health plan proposal would change my payment from a 6% contribution to a 40% contribution from my Delphi retirement income. Because of the current economy, my income is severely limited. I am contesting this motion for the following reasons:

- 1) The motion would result in the complete elimination of long promised benefits and is a more severe treatment of an obligation than offered to other stakeholders, who are often receiving at least partial restitution. It is reasonable to expect some change in the benefit plan but a complete elimination is extreme.
- 2) It is a measure whose impact to retirees is amplified by their fixed income situation and the fact that they will be seeking independent coverage instead of being part of a larger group and thus would expect to have higher premiums than would be paid by the company for them.
- 3) It is unbalanced in that it applies only to salaried employees, while represented hourly employees are not impacted or addressed in the motion. Thus, our trust that the company would honor its commitments is used against us and we must seek the help of the court for a degree of protection.
- 4) The obligation Delphi has to provide health care coverage for retirees is time limited. Coverage for retirees is stopped when they reach age 65. And, retirees hired after 1992 do not receive health care coverage in retirement.
- 5) People hired after December 31, 1992 knew they would not receive health care coverage in retirement when they hired in so they have had time to plan for health care in retirement. People such as myself who were hired in the 60's, 70's or 80's were told by the company that we would receive health care coverage in retirement and now have no time to plan for health care coverage after retirement. People who have been retired longer are in an even worse situation. It will cost some of them more than a third of their fixed income to continue their coverage.
- 6) A permanent and complete elimination of health care benefits for salaried retirees prohibits the possibility of the company honoring its commitment and restoring some measure of health care coverage when the company recovers.

SUMMARY

I understand the extraordinary difficult economic times that the company, as well as the automotive industry faces. Sacrifices are being made and are clearly necessary. If health care coverage for retirees needs to be modified to help ensure the company's survival, then that is what we need to do. For example, co-pays, deductibles and/or premiums could be temporarily raised. However, I believe the permanent elimination of health care coverage for salaried retirees is not a fair treatment of this group of stakeholders.

I appreciate the court taking the time to consider my argument and respectfully ask that you do not approve Delphi's motion to terminate health coverage for salaried employees.

Dated: Kokomo, Indiana
February 10, 2009

James E. Rausch
02/10/2009

James E. Rausch
905 Hillsdale Dr.
Kokomo, IN 46901
765-457-4807